## **Public Document Pack**



# Licensing, Health and Safety and General Purposes Committee

Date: Wednesday, 23 May 2012

Time: 6.15 pm

**Venue:** Committee Room 1 - Wallasey Town Hall

**Contact Officer:** Anne Beauchamp 0151 691 8608

**e-mail:** annebeauchamp@wirral.gov.uk

Website: http://www.wirral.gov.uk

## **AGENDA**

## 1. MEMBERS' CODE OF CONDUCT - DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members are asked to consider whether they have personal or prejudicial interests in connection with any item(s) on this agenda and, if so, to declare them and state what they are.

2. MINUTES (Pages 1 - 6)

To receive the minutes of the meeting held on 19 March 2012.

## 3. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR

The Committee is invited to appoint a Vice-Chair for the ensuing municipal year.

- 4. APPOINTMENT OF LICENSING PANEL (Pages 7 10)
- 5. FUNDING FOR PRIVATE HIRE AND HACKNEY CARRIAGE DRIVER TRAINING (Pages 11 16)
- 6. ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS ACCEPTED BY THE CHAIR

To consider any other business that the Chair accepts as being urgent.



## LICENSING, HEALTH AND SAFETY AND GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE

Monday, 19 March 2012

Present: Councillor W J Davies (Chair)

Councillors T Anderson S Taylor

P Glasman R Wilkins C Jones K Wood S Niblock I Williams

Apologies: Councillor I Lewis

### 31 MEMBERS' CODE OF CONDUCT - DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members were asked to consider whether they had personal or prejudicial interests in connection with any item on the agenda and, if so, to declare them and state what they were.

Councillor S Niblock declared a prejudicial interest in respect of Item 4 - Hackney Carriage Vehicle Licences - Mr Abadi - by virtue of having previously Chaired a Licensing Act 2003 Sub-Committee involving Mr Abadi (minute 34 refers).

Councillor S Taylor declared a personal interest in respect of Item 7 - Referrals from the Alcohol Scrutiny Review - by virtue of having been previously involved in the work of the Alcohol Scrutiny Committee (minute 37 refers).

## 32 MINUTES

Resolved - That the minutes of the Special Meeting held on 8 March 2012 be approved subject to the amendment of the attendance list to include Councillor J Salter as deputy for Councillor S Niblock.

## 33 PROPOSED LOCAL ELECTION FEES 2012/13

The Head of Legal and Democratic Services (on behalf of the Director of Law, HR and Asset Management) reported upon the proposed fees payable to the Returning Officer and his staff employed to undertake various duties in connection with the elections to be held on 3 May 2012.

The proposed scale of fees for the Returning Officer and the staff employed in relation to running the local election were detailed in Table 1 of Appendix 1 to the report together with information gathered from other Merseyside authorities in relation to their fees.

Members were requested to consider the fees proposed in the appendix and agree the Returning Officer fee and the associated fees detailed in Table 1 of the report.

Resolved - That the proposed Local Election Fees and Charges structure as set out in Table 1 of Appendix 1 of the report be approved subject to the following amendments:-

- (i) the fees for 2012/13 for the Deputy Returning Officer (full powers) shall be up to 50% of the Returning Officer's fee;
- (ii) the fees for 2012/13 for the Polling Station Inspectors shall be £165.00 instead of £190.00 as outlined in the report, bringing the total fees for Polling Station Inspectors to £3,630.00; and
- (iii) the fees for 2012/13 for Deputy Returning Officers shall be £459.42.

## 34 HACKNEY CARRIAGE VEHICLE LICENCES

Councillor S Niblock declared a prejudicial interest in respect of the application regarding Mr Abadi and left the room during its consideration.

The Licensing Manager, on behalf of the Director of Law, HR and Asset Management, reported upon the consideration of the allocation of available Hackney Carriage Vehicle Licences resulting from the decision of the Licensing, Health and Safety and General Purposes Committee on 23 January 2012 to impose a limit on the number of Hackney Carriage Vehicle Licences issued.

Prior to the meeting on 23 January 2012 a number of proprietors had placed orders for new Hackney Carriage Vehicles. The proprietors of these vehicles subsequently applied to license them. In accordance with the decision of the Committee on 23 January 2012 no licences had been issued to these applicants. Details of the applications were outlined within the report with copies of the application forms attached as exempt appendices and Members were asked to consider and determine each application.

The proprietors who had placed orders for new vehicles were Mr Abiru who had ordered a new hackney carriage vehicle on 10 January 2012, Mr Skeete who had ordered three new hackney carriage vehicles on 12 January 2012 and Mr Marnell who had ordered two new hackney carriage vehicles on 4 November 2011. Members were also asked to consider an application to re-license a Hackney Carriage Vehicle following failure to renew on time submitted by Mr Abadi.

The Licensing Manager advised Members that following research with other local authorities, a number of different allocation policies had been identified. An outline of the options identified was presented to Members and the Licensing Manager responded to questions from Members.

## It was moved by Councillor S Taylor and seconded by Councillor P Glasman that -

"Members note the information concerning the options presented for an allocation policy and instruct the Director of Law, Human Resources and Asset Management to

arrange for a public consultation in respect of a future allocation policy for Hackney Carriage licences."

## Resolved -

That Members note the information concerning the options presented for an allocation policy and instruct the Director of Law, Human Resources and Asset Management to arrange for a public consultation in respect of a future allocation policy for Hackney Carriage licences.

### **EXEMPT INFORMATION - EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC**

Resolved - That, under section 100 (A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following items of business on the grounds that they involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined by paragraph 1 of Part I of Schedule 12A (as amended) to that Act. The Public Interest test had been applied and favoured exclusion.

Mr Abiru attended the meeting, made representations in support of his application and responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

It was moved by Councillor T Anderson and seconded by Councillor S Taylor that -

"Following the resolution of this Committee to restrict the number of Hackney Carriage vehicle licences the application in respect of Mr Abiru be refused on the grounds that he was aware that there may be a change in policy and had made provisions for transferring his licence and therefore there was no reasonable expectation that a Hackney Carriage Vehicle Licence would be granted."

Resolved (5:4) - That, following the resolution of this Committee to restrict the number of Hackney Carriage vehicle licences, the application in respect of Mr Abiru be refused on the grounds that he was aware that there may be a change in policy and had made provisions for transferring his licence and therefore there was no reasonable expectation that Hackney Carriage Vehicle Licence would be granted.

Councillor B Wilkins left the meeting due to illness.

Mr Skeete attended the meeting, made representations in support of his applications and responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

It was moved by Councillor S Niblock and seconded by Councillor T Anderson that -

"The applications in respect of Mr Skeete be granted."

Resolved (8:0) - That the applications in respect of Mr Skeete be granted.

Mr Marnell attended the meeting, made representations in support of his applications and responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

It was moved by Councillor S Niblock and seconded by Councillor S Taylor that -

"The applications in respect of Mr Marnell be granted."

Resolved (8:0) - That the applications in respect of Mr Marnell be granted.

Mr Abadi attended the meeting together with his representative, Mr Hughes, made representations in support of his application and responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

It was moved by Councillor P Glasman and seconded by Councillor K Wood that -

"The application in respect of Mr Abadi be refused on the grounds that Members had not been persuaded to justify departing from the current policy of requiring a vehicle to be three years old or less from the date of manufacture or registration when granting a licence."

Resolved (7:0) - That the application in respect of Mr Abadi be refused on the grounds that Members had not been persuaded to justify departing from the current policy of requiring a vehicle to be three years old or less from the date of manufacture or registration when granting a licence.

## 35 **SEX ESTABLISHMENT FEES**

The Director of Law, HR and Asset Management reported upon a request for Members to set a level of fees for the Licensing of Sex Establishments.

The Licensing Manager outlined the report and a table comparing the fees of neighbouring local authorities was attached as an appendix to the report. The Licensing Manager advised Members that it was estimated there would be a cost to the Licensing Section of £1,200 per application to licence such premises. Members were asked to determine the fee based on this estimate of costs subject to a review of costs being undertaken in twelve months time.

Resolved - That the level of fees of £1,200 for the application and for the renewal of licences for the Licensing of Sex Establishments be approved subject to a review of costs being undertaken in twelve months time.

## 36 PRIVATE HIRE AND HACKNEY CARRIAGE DRIVER TRAINING

The Director of Law, HR and Asset Management reported upon a number of current licensed drivers who had not complied with the training requirement.

The Licensing Manager reported that a number of training providers had advised that due to changes in funding available to deliver the required training, they would be unable to deliver this by the deadline agreed by Members of 28 March 2012. It was

reported that this had affected approximately 25 drivers. In view of this Members were asked to consider appointing a further deadline of 14 May 2012 by which Drivers must complete the training.

The Licensing Manager further reported that changes to funding available for training had also had an effect on those drivers who were required to undertake both the VRQ and NVQ training in that funding may not be available to enable drivers to obtain the NVQ. In view of these changes Members were asked to review the requirement for drivers to obtain an NVQ within the first twelve months of being granted a Licence.

Mr T Norbury and Mr A Thornton from Merseylearn attended the meeting and updated Members upon the funding available for training and the qualifications available to drivers.

Mr Norbury and Mr Thornton responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

Mr D Cummins, UNITE Union and training provider, addressed the Committee. He advised Members that as a training provider, he had been able to access funding for drivers to undertake both the VRQ and NVQ training.

Mr Cummins responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

## It was moved by the Chair and seconded by Councillor S Taylor that -

"A new deadline of 14 May 2012 be set for Taxi Drivers to complete the required training and that a further report be submitted to the next meeting of this Committee detailing any changes of funding available for training."

## Resolved (9:0) -

- (1) That a new deadline of 14 May 2012 be set for Licensed Drivers to complete the required training.
- (2) That a further report be submitted to the next meeting of this Committee detailing funding available for training.

## 37 REFERRALS FROM THE ALCOHOL SCRUTINY REVIEW

Councillor S Taylor declared a personal interest in this matter by virtue of having been previously involved in the work of the Alcohol Scrutiny Committee.

The Director of Law, HR and Asset Management reported upon referrals from Cabinet of 17 March 2011, following their consideration of the final report of the Scrutiny Programme Board's Alcohol Scrutiny Review.

Councillor P Glasman wished to place on record the thanks of the Committee to Rob Beresford for preparing the report.

Rob Beresford, Head of Regulation, outlined the report, he advised Members that the Government would shortly be outlining their proposals upon minimum unit pricing for alcohol and responded to questions from Members of the Committee.

It was moved by Councillor S Taylor and seconded by Councillor P Glasman that -

"No decision should be made prior to National Government implementation."

<u>Resolved</u> - That no decision should be made prior to National Government implementation.

### 38 EXEMPT INFORMATION - EXCLUSION OF MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Resolved - That, under section 100 (A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following item of business on the grounds that it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined by paragraph 1 of Part I of Schedule 12A (as amended) to that Act. The Public Interest test had been applied and favoured exclusion.

### 39 HACKNEY CARRIAGE VEHICLE LICENCES - EXEMPT APPENDICES

Members noted the details of each application attached as exempt appendices to the report of agenda item no. 4, Hackney Carriage Vehicle Licences (minute no. 34 refers).

## WIRRAL COUNCIL

## LICENSING, HEALTH AND SAFETY AND GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE 23 MAY 2012

SUBJECT:	APPOINTMENT OF THE LICENSING PANEL
WARD/S AFFECTED:	ALL
REPORT OF:	DIRECTOR OF LAW, HR AND
	ASSET MANAGEMENT
KEY DECISION?	NO

### 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 The purpose of this report is to enable the Committee to undertake a review of the Licensing Panel and to appoint Members to serve on that Panel if it is to be retained in 2012-2013. Members of panels must be appointed by the parent Committee and appointments cannot be made by the Council.

## 2.0 BACKGROUND AND KEY ISSUES

2.1 Standing Order 33(1) requires that Committees should keep the need for panels under review and, in particular, the position must be reviewed at the first meeting of a Committee in each municipal year.

As a result of a High Court judgement, only named deputies may attend panel meetings in place of a permanent member. Standing Order 25(6)(a) permits appointment of up to a maximum of eight deputies for each political group. It should be noted that deputies are normally called to serve in the order in which they are nominated.

Unless appointed as full members, the Leaders and Deputy Leaders of the three largest political groups represented on the Council are entitled to attend meetings of all Committees, Sub-Committees and Panels, with the right to speak at the Chair's invitation, but not to vote (Standing Order 25(5) refers).

## 2.2 Licensing Panel

- (a) Terms of Reference
- (1) To consider and determine licensing/registration applications (except those dealt with by the Licensing Act 2003 Committee, or delegated to and granted by the Director of Law, HR and Asset Management), and to exercise the powers of the Council in relation to the suspension and revocation of licences, including the power to impose, vary or suspend conditions relating to such applications.
- (2) To consider and determine applications for stage hypnotic performances where the performer has not previously received approval.

- (3) To review cases involving the refusal or revocation of registration of civil marriage venues.
- (4) To exercise the powers and duties of the Council under the provisions of the Safety At Sports Grounds Act 1975 as regards the issue, monitoring, enforcement, review, amendment and renewal of safety certificates and the review of arrangements relating thereto.
- (5) Power to license persons to collect for charitable and other causes.

## (b) <u>Membership</u>

If the Licensing Panel was to continue with seven members as in 2011-2012, the allocation of those places has to be politically proportionate. The Membership of the Licensing Panel (including deputies) for 2011-2012 consisted of seven members in the ratio 3:3:1 as shown below:-

	<u>Members</u>	<u>Deputies</u>
3 Conservative Councillors	Sue Taylor (s) Kate Wood Ian Lewis	Paul Hayes Peter Johnson Chris Blakeley Geoffrey Watt John Hale Peter Kearney Cherry Povall Wendy Clements
3 Labour Councillors	Bill Davies (Chair) Steve Niblock Pat Glasman	Ron Abbey John Salter Chris Meaden Brian Kenny George Davies Jim Crabtree Harry Smith Denise Roberts
1 Liberal Democrat Councillor	Bob Wilkins (s)	Ann Bridson Alan Brighouse Phil Gilchrist Tom Harney Mark Johnston Dave Mitchell Stuart Kelly Pat Williams

2.3 If the Membership of the Licensing Panel is to continue with seven Members, the ratio would be 4:2:1.

## 3.0 RELEVANT RISKS

3.1 There is a requirement under the Council's Constitution that a Licensing Panel is appointed.

## 4.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

4.1 No other options were considered beyond those outlined in the report.

## 5.0 CONSULTATION

5.1 It is for political groups to decide how they wish to allocate their Licensing Panel places.

## 6.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR VOLUNTARY, COMMUNITY AND FAITH GROUPS

6.1 There are no implications for voluntary, community and faith groups

## 7.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS: FINANCIAL; IT; STAFFING; AND ASSETS

7.1 There are no implications arising directly from this report.

### 8.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are no implications arising directly from this report.

## 9.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 Has the potential impact of your proposal(s) been reviewed with regard to equality?
  - (b) No because there is no relevance to equality.

## 10.0 CARBON REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no implications arising directly from this report.

## 11.0 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

11.1 There are no planning or community safety implications.

## 12.0 RECOMMENDATION/S

- 12.1 The Committee is requested:
  - (i) to confirm that the Licensing Panel be re-appointed for 2012-2013 with the terms of reference identified.
  - (ii) to appoint members to serve on the Licensing Panel in 2012-2013, including the appointment of the Chair, Vice-Chair, Spokespersons and named deputies.

## 13.0 REASON/S FOR RECOMMENDATION/S

13.1 The Committee is required to appoint members to serve on the Licensing Panel.

REPORT AUTHOR: Anne Beauchamp

**Committee Officer** 

telephone: (0151) 691 8608

email: annebeauchamp@wirral.gov.uk

## REFERENCE MATERIAL

Previous reports on the appointment of Licensing Panel Membership and the Council's Constitution.

## **SUBJECT HISTORY (last 3 years)**

Council Meeting	Date
Licensing, Health & Safety & General Purposes Committee	25 May 2011
Licensing, Health & Safety & General Purposes Committee	25 May 2010
Licensing, Health & Safety & General Purposes Committee	19 May 2009
Licensing, Health & Safety & General Purposes Committee	16 June 2008

## WIRRAL COUNCIL

## LICENSING HEALTH AND SAFETY AND GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE

## 23 MAY 2012

SUBJECT:	FUNDING FOR PRIVATE HIRE AND					
	HACKNEY CARRIAGE DRIVER TRAINING					
WARD/S AFFECTED:	ALL					
REPORT OF:	DIRECTOR OF LAW HR AND ASSET MANAGEMENT					
RESPONSIBLE PORTFOLIO	COMMITTEE CHAIR					
HOLDER:						
KEY DECISION?	NO					

## 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 The purpose of this report is to update Members in respect of the current situation regarding funding for Private Hire and Hackney Carriage training

### 2.0 BACKGROUND AND KEY ISSUES

- 2.1 At a meeting of this Committee on 19 March 2012, Members resolved that a further report be submitted to the next meeting of this Committee detailing funding available for training.
- 2.2 In February 2010, Members resolved that all new applicants for Private Hire and Hackney Carriage Driver Licences must obtain a Vocationally Related Qualification in Road Passenger Transport (VRQ) prior to being granted a licence and then achieve the Level 2 National Vocational Qualification in Road Passenger Vehicle Transport (NVQ) within 12 months of being issued with a licence. The principle being that prior to the issue of a licence a driver should undertake "theoretical" training and then once operating as a licensed driver the "practical" skills should be tested.
- 2.3 Both of these qualifications conform to the new Qualifications and Credit Framework (QCF) as full "level 2" qualifications, and these qualify for government funding for most applicants, although this is normally only for the first full level 2 qualification.
- 2.4 The Skills Funding Agency (SFA) is a partner organisation of the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills whose role is to fund and regulate adult further education and skills training in England. They allocate funding to colleges and other skills and training organisations who have discretion over expenditure to meet the local training needs.
- 2.5 The SFA have produced a document which sets out the Funding Rules, providing mandatory requirements for Training Providers in order that their use of public funding

- is safeguarded in a proportionate way. The Funding Rules document can be accessed at http://skillsfundingagency.bis.gov.uk/providers/fundingrules/
- 2.6 The Funding Rules explain the levels of government contribution that are made towards the cost of a learner's programme and the rules that training providers must adhere to. In some cases, the SFA will fully fund the cost of a learner's programme. In other cases, the SFA state that they will work in partnership with employers and individuals who are expected to contribute towards the costs of learning.
- 2.7 Attached at Appendix A is a table summary from the Funding Rules document showing Government contributions for learners aged 19 years and older for the current academic year. The table shows in column D that full funding is available for individuals aged over 25 to obtain a classroom based level 2 qualification if they do not currently hold a level 2 qualification but indicates this will change for the 2012/2013 funding period. The VRQ is a classroom based qualification and therefore this supports the current position for applicants who wish to become Hackney Carriage or Private Hire drivers, in that they do not currently incur the cost of obtaining this qualification.
- 2.8 Column E of the table refers to workplace learning. This form of learning is undertaken to obtain the NVQ level 2 National Vocational Qualification in Road Passenger Vehicle Transport and indicates that this course is currently fully funded for individuals who do not have a level 2 qualification. Thus a driver that is currently funded to obtain the first part of the Council's requirement, (i.e. the VRQ, a level 2 qualification), may not then receive separate funding for the second requirement (i.e. the NVQ also a level 2 qualification). In some cases some training providers have combined the "theoretical" (VRQ) element and the "practical" (NVQ) elements into a single level 2 course and thereby have funded both of the Council's requirements.
- 2.9 The table attached at Appendix B shows Government contributions for learners aged 19 years and older commencing August 2012 and indicates that from this date level 2 qualifications will be co-funded irrespective of whether an individual has already obtained a level 2 qualification.
- 3.0 It is important to note that both tables indicate that in circumstances where individuals are unemployed the level 2 qualification is fully funded.
- 3.1 Under the Funding Rules training providers must only claim funding for learners assessed as eligible for funding as stated in the Rules. It is for training providers to interview individual applicants to assess whether funding is available to deliver the training requested by the applicant.

## 3.0 RELEVANT RISKS

3.1 The safety of passengers could be at risk due to the lack of training undertaken by drivers.

## 4.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

4.1 There is no provision for other options to be considered.

## 5.0 CONSULTATION

5.1 This is not a matter which requires consultation.

## 6.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR VOLUNTARY, COMMUNITY AND FAITH GROUPS

6.1 There are no specific implications arising from this report.

## 7.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS: FINANCIAL; IT; STAFFING; AND ASSETS

7.1 There are no specific implications arising from this report.

## 8.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

8.1 A decision of the Committee may be subject to Appeal

## 9.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

9.1 Has the potential impact of the proposal been reviewed with regard to equality?

No because there is no change to policy regarding the training requirement as a result of this report. However should there be a proposed change in policy an Equality Impact Assessment will be undertaken.

## 10.0 CARBON REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no specific implications arising out of this report.

## 11.0 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

11.1 There are no planning implications arising out of this report.

## 12.0 RECOMMENDATION/S

12.1 Members are asked to note the updated details regarding funding provisions for qualifications required to become a private hire or hackney carriage driver and be aware of the potential financial impact on applicants.

## 13.0 REASON/S FOR RECOMMENDATION/S

13.1 It is a responsibility of this Committee to determine the appropriate action to be taken.

REPORT AUTHOR: Margaret O'Donnell

Licensing Manager

telephone: (0151) 691 8606

email: margaretodonnell@wirral.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

				+ + ,				1 ,
Other Level 2	Full Level 2	Progression Funding (Entry & Level 1 vocational and PSD aims)	ESOL	Adult Basic Skills (including Functional Skills in Literacy and Numeracy)	Stand alone units		201717	
Fully funded	Fully funded	Fully funded	Fully funded	Fully funded	Fully funded	benefits	Unemployed	Ū
Co-funded (classroom)  Not funded (workplace)	Fully funded (for those without a Level 2)  Co-funded (with Level 2 or above, classroom)  Co-funded (with Level 2 or above, workplace SME only, otherwise not funded in workplace)	Fully funded* (classroom)  Fully funded* (vocational Level 1 only, workplace)	Co-funded (classroom)  Not funded (workplace)	Fully funded	Not funded	19-24 year Old		c
Co-funded	Fully funded (co-funded for 24+, from 2012/13)	Fully funded*	Co-funded	Fully funded	Not funded	25+, without a first Level 2	Classroom based	
Co-funded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Fully funded	Not funded	25+ qualified to Level 2 or above	ased	
Not funded	Fully funded (co-funded for 24+, from 2012/13)	Fully funded* (vocational Level 1 only)	Not funded	Fully funded	Not funded	25+, without a first Level 2	Workplace learning Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) Less than 250 employees	ī
Not funded	Co-funded	Co-funded (vocational Level 1 only)	Not funded	Fully funded	Not funded	25+ qualified to Level 2 or above	nterprises (SME)	
Notfunded	Fully funded (co-funded for 24+, from 2012/13)	Fully funded* (vocational Level 1 only)	Not funded	Fully funded	Not funded	25+, without a first Level 2	Workplace learning Large employer <sup>↑</sup> 250 employees or more	
Not funded	Not funded	Co-funded (vocational Level 1 only)	Not funded	Fully funded	Not funded	25+ qualified to Level 2 or above	arning ∘er <sup>*</sup> •s or more	

<sup>\*</sup> Fully funded if the learner has not attained Level 2 and needs the additional step up from basic skills in order to progress to a full Level 2, otherwise co-funded

Large employer in the context of eligibility (reduction in funding still applies to employer 1,000 employees or more)

Unemployed on active benefits or wider offer to unemployed on active unemployed on active and active the period of	Other Level 2	Full Level 2	Progression Funding (Entry & Level 1 vocational and PSD aims)	ESOL	Adult Basic Skills English and maths	Stand alone units  Excluding Basic Skills		90A9/A3	Α
Fully funded  Ortunded  Not funded	Fully funded	Fully Tunded	Fully funded	Fully funded	Fully funded	Fully funded	wider offer to unemployed	Unemployed on active benefits or	В
Fully funded  Ortunded  Not funded	Co-funded (classroom) Not funded (workplace)	Fully funded (for those without a Level 2)  Co-funded (with Level 2 or above; classroom)  Co-funded (with Level 2 or above; workplace SME only otherwise not funded in workplace)	Fully funded* (classroom)  Fully funded* (vocational Level 1 only, workplace)	Co-funded (classroom)  Not hinded (workplace)	Fully funded	Not funded		19-23 vear old	С
Fully funded  Ortunded  Nortunded	Co-tunded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Fully funded	Not funded	24+, without a first Level 2	Classroom t	D
Fully funded  Ortunded  Nortunded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Co-funded	Fully funded	Not funded	24+ qualified to Level 2 or above	based	
Workplace lear Large employees 250 employees 24+; without a first Level 2 Not funded Not funded Not funded Not funded Not funded	Not funded:	Co-funded			Fully funded	Notfunder	24+, without a first Level 2	Workplace learning Small and Medium En Less than 250 employ	
Workplace learning Large employer  250 employees or more  24+ 44+, without a first Level 2	Not in the second secon	Co-funded	At Joseph New Property Commence of the Commenc		Fully funded	Not funded	24+ qualified to Level 2 or above	terprises (SME)	* <u>,</u>
arning eer er 24+ qualified to Level 2 or above Not funded Not funded Not funded Not funded Not funded	Notfunded			No. 1	Fully funded	Not funded	24+, without a first Level 2	Workplace lea	П
Page 16	Notfunded			No. Tunded	Fully	Not funded	24+ qualified to Level 2 or above	arning er s or more	

Large employer in the context of eligibility (reduction in funding still applies to employer 1,000 employees or more) Fully funded if the Learner has not attained Level 2 and needs the additional step up from basic skills in order to progress to a full Level 2, otherwise co-funded